



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,804

04/05/2006

Yuji Yasui

108419-00082

2064

4372 7590 03/19/2008
ARENT FOX LLP
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 400
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

RIDDLE, KYLE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3748

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/19/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com
IPMatters@arentfox.com
Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/574,804	Applicant(s) YASUI ET AL.	
	Examiner KYLE M. RIDDLE	Art Unit 3748	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 4-12 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 April 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/5/06, 10/5/08</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claim 9, page 6, line 2 of the claim, "4 or 5" should read --claim 4 or 5--;
 - Claim 12, page 7, line 2 of the claim, "9" should read --claim 9--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,722,325).

Shimizu et al. disclose a variable valve control apparatus comprising:

- an intake air amount control system with an engine control unit 114 receiving detection signals from an air flow meter 115, an accelerator pedal sensor APS 116, and a crank angle position sensor 117 (column 2, lines 52-58);
- a variable valve lift mechanism 112 (column 3, lines 5-17);
- a variable valve timing mechanism 113 (column 5, lines 3-13);
- a target volume flow means based on engine load (column 6, lines 37-47);

- a first control value calculating means or target valve lift amount of lift mechanism 112 is calculated and feedback controlled based on target volume flow (column 6, lines 58-67 with column 7, lines 1-8);

- a second control means for controlling a target valve opening timing based on target volume flow and engine load (column 7, lines 25-35);

- the control means controlling the amount of valve lift or the valve overlap to obtain the desired target volume flow (column 7, lines 53-59; claims 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Shimizu et al.

Shimizu et al. disclose the variable valve control apparatus cited above, however, fail to specifically disclose a feedback control system based on two degree of freedom control algorithm.

The use of a two degree of freedom control algorithm is well known in the art (for example, see IDS references JP-2003-65135 and JP-2003-21001) depending on the level of desired controllability, complexity, etc., and is therefore obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

6. Claims 3/1 and 3/2/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Shimizu et al. in view of Yasui (U.S. Patent 7,124,013).

Shimizu et al. disclose the variable valve control apparatus cited above, however, fail to specifically disclose a calculating interval of the control value calculating means being longer than a calculation interval of the control input calculating means.

Yasui teaches an engine control mechanism where the calculation period for a first value calculating means and a second value calculating means is set to be longer than a calculation period of a control input calculating means (see claims). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Yasui in the variable valve timing apparatus of Shimizu et al., since the use thereof would have provided a specific control mechanism allowing the control inputs to be calculated quicker than the control means facilitating better control of the variable valve lift and timing mechanisms.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-12 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claims 4-12, the claimed combination including the limitations of a variable valve timing and variable lift mechanism comprising controlling an intake air amount to a target intake air amount based on a first load parameter, a first control means controlling a valve lift to converge the intake air amount to the target intake air amount, a second control means controlling a cam phase to converge the intake air amount to the target intake air amount, and a control means for selecting one of the first and second control means based on a second load parameter, is not disclosed or rendered obvious over the art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on 5 April 2006 and 5 October 2007 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of 3 patents.

- Minami et al. (U.S. Patent 7,121,238) disclose an intake valve control system varying the lift and phase to adjust the intake air amount.

- Arinaga et al. (U.S. Patent 7,210,450) disclose an intake valve control system varying the lift and phase with learning capability to control the intake air amount.

- Yasui et al. (U.S. Patent 7,331,317) disclose a valve timing control system significantly like the applicant's invention.

Communication

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KYLE M. RIDDLE whose telephone number is (571)272-4864. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (07:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3748

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas E. Denion/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3748

Kyle M. Riddle
Examiner
Art Unit 3748

kmr